

Journal of Coastal Life Medicine

journal homepage: www.jclmm.com



Original article

doi: 10.12980/JCLM.3.2015J5-65

©2015 by the Journal of Coastal Life Medicine. All rights reserved.

Brine shrimp lethality and antibacterial activity of extracts from the bark of *Schleichera oleosa*Laxman Pokhrel^{1,2*}, Bigyan Sharma^{1,2}, Gan B. Bajracharya¹¹Nepal Academy of Science and Technology, Khumaltar, Lalitpur, Nepal²Kathmandu Institute of Applied Sciences, Banasthali, Kathmandu, Nepal

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 26 May 2015

Received in revised form 3 Jun 2015

Accepted 9 Jun 2015

Available online 15 Jul 2015

Keywords:

Schleichera oleosa

Extract

Antibacterial

Potency

Toxicity

ABSTRACT

Objective: To determine the antibacterial efficacy and brine shrimp toxicity of extracts (hexane, dichloromethane, ethyl acetate, methanol and water) obtained from the bark of *Schleichera oleosa*.

Methods: The powdered bark sample was Soxhlet extracted sequentially in hexanes, dichloromethane, ethyl acetate, methanol and water. Antibacterial evaluation was carried out by following the agar diffusion method and amoxicillin disc was used as a reference. Slightly modified Meyer's method was used to determine the toxicity of the extracts in brine shrimps.

Results: Among the nine bacterial strains tested, the methanolic and aqueous extracts showed promising antibacterial efficacy against *Serratia marcescens*, *Escherichia coli*, *Bacillus subtilis* and *Micrococcus luteus*. None of the extracts were found significantly toxic to brine shrimps.

Conclusions: Strong antibacterial activity and low brine shrimp toxicity of methanolic and aqueous extracts can provide new antibacterial compounds.

1. Introduction

Use of plant materials as medicines by human was from the time of their origin and the records in South Asia dates back to 4500 BC[1]. These days, a large number of population rely on plant-based medicines and several countries are interested to bring it as a mainstream medicine by integrating with modern drugs[2,3]. People in the villages use herbal medicine preferably due to its availability, low price and less side effects. Despite long history and wide-spread practice, the herbal medicine lacks authentication as per the standards of the modern science[4]. Scientific evaluations of such plant-derived medicines not only validate the traditional knowledge but also can contribute in the development of better allopathic drugs[5,6]. Bacterial ability to outsmart current drugs demands the continual supply of new drugs[7]. It has been a big challenge to find compounds with strong antibacterial potency and low toxicity[8,9]. Exploration of plant-based extracts and compounds for the importance of antibacterial potency can help to fulfill such

demand[2,10]. *Schleichera oleosa* (*S. oleosa*) (synonym: *Schleichera trijuga*) is a semi-evergreen, medium sized (15–32 m) tree, distributed up to 1200 m in Southern Asia[11]. It is a monogenic plant in family Sapindaceae[12]. Traditionally, different parts of *S. oleosa* have been used for the treatment of different human disorders like pain, skin diseases, dysentery, snake bite and reported to have properties such as antioxidant, antiulcer, antifungal[13-19]. There have also been reports on antimicrobial activities of extracts as well as of pure compounds isolated from less polar fractions of this plant[20]. Here we report a comparative potency of extracts obtained in different solvents from the bark of *S. oleosa* from Nepal to inhibit Gram-positive and Gram-negative bacteria. Motivated with the strong inhibition of few bacterial strains by polar fractions, we also evaluated the toxicity of all extracts in brine shrimps.

2. Materials and methods

Bark of *S. oleosa* was collected from Srijana Community Forest, Kohalpur, Banke, Nepal in April 2014. The bacterial strains of *Salmonella typhimurium* (ATCC 14028) (*S. typhimurium*), *Serratia marcescens* (ATCC 13880) (*S. marcescens*), *Staphylococcus aureus* (ATCC 25992) (*S. aureus*), *Escherichia coli* (ATCC 25922) (*E. coli*),

*Corresponding author: Laxman Pokhrel, Nepal Academy of Science and Technology, Khumaltar, Lalitpur, Nepal.

E-mail: lapokhrel@gmail.com

Foundation Project: Supported by Nepal Academy of Science and Technology.

Pseudomonas aeruginosa (ATCC 27853) (*P. aeruginosa*), *Klebsiella pneumoniae* (ATCC 700603) (*K. pneumoniae*), *Bacillus subtilis* (ATCC 6501) (*B. subtilis*), *Micrococcus luteus* (*M. luteus*), and *Enterobacter* were obtained from National Endemic Health Care Center, Teku, Kathmandu, Nepal. Brine shrimp (*Artemia salina*) eggs were purchased from Ocean Star International Inc., Snowville, UT, USA.

2.1. Preparation of extracts

The bark of *S. oleosa* was cut into small pieces, shed dried and powdered. About 120 g of powdered sample was Soxhlet extracted (16-24 h) sequentially with hexanes, dichloromethane, ethyl acetate, methanol and water. After evaporation of the solvents in reduced vacuum and water bath, we obtained 0.2, 0.1, 0.2, 16.6 and 11.35 g of extracts from hexanes, dichloromethane, ethyl acetate, methanol, and water respectively.

2.2. Antibacterial evaluation

Inhibitory activity of the extracts was performed by following the agar well diffusion method[21]. The strains of *S. aureus*, *B. subtilis*, *M. luteus*, *S. typhimurium*, *S. marcescens*, *E. coli*, *P. aeruginosa*, *Enterobacter* and *K. pneumoniae* were grown in nutrient broth to get required turbidity and swabbed uniformly on Muller Hinton agar (MHA) plates[22]. Wells in the MHA plates were made with the help of a cork borer of 6 mm size. 50 µL solution (obtained by dissolving 50 mg of extract in 1 mL of dimethyl sulfoxide) of each extract were loaded into separate wells for each bacterial strain. The MHA plates were made in duplicate and incubated at 37 °C for 24 h. A 25 µg amoxicillin disc was used as a reference for comparison.

2.3. Brine shrimp toxicity evaluation

Toxicity of the extracts was evaluated in newly-hatched nauplii of brine shrimps by slightly modifying the method reported by Meyer[23]. Samples were prepared in three dilutions in respective solvents used for extraction and transferred into the test tubes containing discs (made from filter paper). The test tubes were dried in vacuum to get rid of the organic solvents. The nauplii were obtained by hatching eggs of brine shrimp in artificial sea water (ASW) for 48 h in a lighting environment[24]. Ten brine shrimp nauplii with ASW were transferred to each test tube with the help of a disposable pipette. Final adjustment of ASW to 5 mL in each test tube provided three sets of concentrations (1000, 100, and 10 µg/mL) of the extracts. Five test tubes were prepared for each dose level and blanks were made without extracts. Unlike Meyer, the food (dry yeast suspension) for the nauplii was not added in the test tubes. The test tubes were illuminated for 24 h and the number of survived nauplii was counted. Percentage deaths and LC₅₀ values for each dose level were obtained using Finney's probit analysis method[25].

3. Results

Antibacterial potencies of extracts were measured as clear zones of average inhibition to bacterial growth and expressed in millimeter (Table 1). Polar extracts (methanol and water) of bark of *S. oleosa*

showed strong bacterial inhibition (Figure 1 and Table 1) to both Gram-positive and Gram-negative bacteria. Similar fractions from the leaves of *S. oleosa* were reported to inhibit few bacterial strains[13,26]. Both the extracts effectively inhibited *S. marcescens*, *E. coli*, *M. luteus* and *B. subtilis* while the inhibition was not clearly transparent for *S. aureus*. In the case of *S. marcescens*, *E. coli* and *M. luteus*, the inhibitions were stronger compared to the standard. For *Enterobacter*, mild inhibitions were observed by both of these extracts. The least polar hexane fraction did not show any inhibitions; only slight inhibitions were noticed by dichloromethane and ethyl acetate extracts for *S. aureus*. The alkaloids and saponin obtained from this plant were reported to have inhibition activity against *E. coli*[27]. Less polar compounds like triterpenoids obtained from outer bark of *S. oleosa* were evaluated for antibacterial potency[28]. However, our work showed that the compounds with stronger antibacterial activity from the bark of *S. oleosa* were polar in nature and isolation of pure compounds from polar fractions was motivating to get potential antibacterial compounds. We also evaluated the toxicity of these extracts in brine shrimps. The percent deaths and LC₅₀ values for each dose level are presented in Table 2.

Table 1
Antibacterial potency of extracts from the bark of *S. oleosa*.

Bacteria	Bark fractions (zones of inhibition in mm)					
	Amoxicillin disc (25 µg)	Hexane	Dichloromethane	Ethyl acetate	Methanol	Water
<i>S. typhimurium</i> (-)	43	0	0	0	0	0
<i>S. marcescens</i> (-)	10*	0	0	0	18	18
<i>S. aureus</i> (+)	47	0	13*	14*	18*	16*
<i>E. coli</i> (-)	12*	0	0	0	16	15
<i>P. aeruginosa</i> (-)	48	0	0	0	0	0
<i>B. subtilis</i> (+)	17	0	0	0	15	13
<i>Enterobacter</i> (-)	50	0	0	0	Mild	Mild
<i>K. pneumoniae</i> (-)	47	0	0	0	0	0
<i>M. luteus</i> (+)	12	0	0	0	16	15

Agar gel plates were made in duplicates. *: The inhibition was not clear.




Figure 1. Bacterial inhibition by extracts obtained from the bark of *S. oleosa*.

1: Hexanes extract; 2: Dichloromethane extract; 3: Ethyl acetate extract; 4: Methanol extract; 5: Aqueous extract; 6: Amoxicillin disc (25 µg).

Table 2
Brine shrimp toxicity assay of extracts from bark of *S. oleosa*.

Extracts	Percent of inhibition with dose levels			LC ₅₀ (µg/mL)
	10 µg	100 µg	1000 µg	
Hexanes	12	20	28	5.62 × 10 ³
Dichloromethane	6	18	18	1.00 × 10 ⁸
Ethyl acetate	16	16	30	1.58 × 10 ⁶
Methanol	16	22	96	1.31 × 10 ²
Aqueous	14	24	50	1.38 × 10 ³

4. Discussion

Leaves of *S. oleosa* are used as a fodder for cattle and people eat the pulp of its fruit. The compounds isolated from such plants have higher chance to pass the toxicity barrier to emerge as a new drug.

The antibacterial potency of methanolic and aqueous fractions motivated us to evaluate the toxicity of its fractions. In the toxicity evaluation, different extracts possessed different toxicities to brine shrimps. The methanol fraction was found most toxic while the dichloromethane fraction was the least. The percent death of brine shrimps was found increasing with dose; however, none of the fractions were found significantly toxic ($LC_{50} \leq 30 \mu\text{g/mL}$) [18]. Thus the less toxic nature of extracts and their strong bacterial inhibition capacity for few strains have encouraged us to isolate pure compounds from the active fractions.

Methanolic and aqueous fractions of bark of *S. oleosa* were found effective to inhibit *S. marcescens*, *E. coli*, *M. luteus* and *B. subtilis*. Less toxic nature of these fractions provides motivation to purify active compounds from these extracts toward the development of new antibacterial drugs.

Conflict of interest statement

We declare that we have no conflict of interest.

Acknowledgments

We would like to thank Nepal Academy of Science and Technology (NAST) for financial, instrumental and chemical supports. All the work was performed at NAST. We would also like to thank Richa K. Gupta, a PhD candidate at NAST, Amit Raj Sharma and Vivek K. Singh, research assistants at NAST for their technical support in performing the antibacterial evaluations of the extracts.

References

- [1] IUCN-The World Conservation Union. *National register of medicinal plants*. Kathmandu: IUCN-The World Conservation Union; 2000.
- [2] Gewali MB. *Aspects of traditional medicine in Nepal*. Toyama: Institute of Natural Medicine, University of Toyama; 2008.
- [3] World Health Organization. WHO traditional medicine strategy: 2014-2023. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2013. [Online] Available from: http://www.who.int/medicines/publications/traditional/trm_strategy14_23/en/ [Accessed on 8th April, 2015]
- [4] Cravotto G, Boffa L, Genzini L, Garella D. Phytotherapeutics: an evaluation of the potential of 1000 plants. *J Clin Pharm Ther* 2010; **35**: 11-48.
- [5] Koirala RR, Khaniya BN. *Present status of traditional medicines and medicinal & aromatic plants related resources & organizations in Nepal*. Kathmandu: Nepal Health Research Council; 2009.
- [6] Gaire BP, Subedi L. Medicinal plant diversity and their pharmacological aspects of Nepal Himalayas. *Pharmacogn J* 2011; **3**: 6-17.
- [7] Andersson DI. Persistence of antibiotic resistant bacteria. *Curr Opin Microbiol* 2003; **6**: 452-6.
- [8] Spellberg B, Guidos R, Gilbert D, Bradley J, Boucher HW, Scheld WM, et al. The epidemic of antibiotic-resistant infections: a call to action for the medical community from the Infectious Diseases Society of America. *Clin Infect Dis* 2008; **46**: 155-64.
- [9] Arias CA, Murray BE. Antibiotic-resistant bugs in the 21st century—a clinical super-challenge. *N Engl J Med* 2009; **360**: 439-43.
- [10] Dhoubhadel SP. Chemical education and research in Nepal. *Himalayan J Sci* 2003; **1**: 4-6.
- [11] Kundu M, Schmidt LH. *Schleichera oleosa* (Lou.) Oken, seed leaflets, (153). Denmark: University of Copenhagen; 2011. [Online] Available from: http://curis.ku.dk/ws/files/34317454/Schleichera_oleosa_.pdf [Accessed on 8th April, 2015]
- [12] Warriar PK, Nambiar VPK, Ramankutty C. *Indian medicinal plants: a compendium of 500 species. Vol. 5*. Hyderabad: Orient Longman Pvt. Ltd.; 2010.
- [13] Mallik BK, Panda T, Padhy RN. Traditional herbal practices by the ethnic people of Kalahandi district of Odisha, India. *Asian Pac J Trop Biomed* 2012; **2**: S988-94.
- [14] Panda SK. Ethno-medicinal uses and screening of plants for antibacterial activity from Similipal Biosphere Reserve, Odisha, India. *J Ethnopharmacol* 2014; **151**: 158-75.
- [15] Mohanta RK, Rout SD, Sahu HK. Ethnomedicinal plant resources of Similipal Biosphere Reserve, Orissa, India. *Zoos' Print J* 2006; **21**: 2372-4.
- [16] Kumar V, Singh PK. Ethnomedicinal plants used as antidote for snake-bite and scorpion-sting in Bundelkhand (U.P.), India. *IOSR J Environ Sci Toxicol Food Technol* 2014; **8**: 52-5.
- [17] Thind TS, Rampal G, Agrawal SK, Saxena AK, Arora S. Evaluation of cytotoxic and radical-scavenging activities of root extracts of *Schleichera oleosa* (Lour.) Oken. *Nat Prod Res* 2012; **26**: 1728-31.
- [18] Srinivas K, Celestin Baboo RV. Antiulcer activity of *Schleichera oleosa* (Lour.) Oken. *Int J Res Pharm Biomed Sci* 2011; **2**: 567-9.
- [19] Patra GC, Sahu PS, Panda P, Sahoo S, Mohapatra S, Bindhani BK. Anti-mycotic effect of 'kumum oil' extract on candida albicans clinical isolates from endophthalmitis cases. *Int J Pharm Bio Sci* 2012; **3**: B475-84.
- [20] Bhatia H, Kaur J, Nabdi S, Gurnani V, Chowdhury A, Reddy PH, et al. A review on *Schleichera oleosa*: pharmacological and environmental aspects. *J Pharm Res* 2013; **6**: 224-9.
- [21] Perez C, Paul M, Bazerque P. Antibiotic assay by the agar-well diffusion method. *Acta Biol Med Exp* 1990; **15**: 113-5.
- [22] McFarland J. Nephelometer: an instrument for estimating the number of bacteria in suspensions used for calculating the opsonic index and for vaccines. *J Am Med Assoc* 1907; **14**: 1176-8.
- [23] Meyer BN, Ferrigni NR, Putnam JE, Jacobsen LB, Nichols DE, McLaughlin JL. Brine shrimp: a convenient general bioassay for active plant constituents. *Planta Med* 1982; **45**: 31-4.
- [24] Bajracharya GB, Tuladhar SM. Brine-shrimp bioassay for assessment of anticancer property of essential oils from spices. *Nepal J Sci Technol* 2011; **12**: 163-70.
- [25] Finny DJ. *Probit analysis*. 3rd ed. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 1971.
- [26] Mishra MP, Padhy RN. *In vitro* antibacterial efficacy of 21 Indian timber-yielding plants against multidrug-resistant bacteria causing urinary tract infection. *Osong Public Health Res Perspect* 2013; **4**: 347-57.
- [27] Chugh CA, Mehta S, Dua H. Phytochemical screening and evaluation of biological activities of some medicinal plants of Phagwara, Punjab. *Asian J Chem* 2012; **24**: 5903-5.
- [28] Ghosh P, Chakraborty P, Mandal A, Rasul MG, Chakraborty M, Saha A. Triterpenoids from *Schleichera oleosa* of Darjeeling foothills and their antimicrobial activity. *Indian J Pharm Sci* 2011; **73**: 231-3.