A Pharmacovigilance Study of Adverse Drugreactions Report Forms in Dic Of Svcp, Tirupati

Received: 10 February 2023, Revised: 14 March 2023, Accepted: 16 April 2023

*K.Mounika¹, MallikarjunaB.P², A.Supriya³, B.Vinod Kumar Naik⁴, M.Pavithra⁵, P.Gowthamuni⁶, R.Poojitha⁷, R.Radhika⁸

Sree Vidyanikethan College of Pharmacy (Erstwhile) Mohan Babu University, Sree Sainath Nagar, A.Rangampet, Tirupati, (A.P)-517102.

Corresponding Author: K.Mounika

Assistant Professor Department of Pharmaceutics Sree Vidyanikethan College of pharmacy Sree Sainath Nagar.Rangampeta Tirupati-517102 Chittoor (Dt). Mobile no; +918309972819 Email id E-mail: mounika.k@vidyanikethan.edu

Keywords:

Pharmacovigilance, Post-marketing, Adverse drug effects, Effectiveness, Physiological function.

Abstract

Pharmacovigilance is an important and necessary component of clinical research. Both clinical trial safety and postmarketing surveillance pharmacovigilance are critical throughout the product lifecycle.Pharmacovigilance is defined as "the pharmacological science relating to the detection, assessment, comprehension, and prevention of adverse effects of medicines." In India, pharmacovigilance is still in its infancy, Pharmacovigilance monitors any adverse drug effects.Improve public health and safety in the use of medications.Contribute to the assessment of medicine's benefit, harm, effectiveness, and risk, encouraging safe, rational, and effective use.ADR (Adverse drug reaction) is a noxious and unintended response to a drug that occurs at doses normally used in man for disease prevention, diagnosis, or therapy, or for the modification of physiological function.

1. Introduction

Pharmacovigilance is an important and necessary component of clinical research. Both clinical trial safety and post-marketing surveillance pharmacovigilance are critical throughout the product lifecycle.Pharmacovigilance is defined as "the pharmacological science relating to the detection, assessment, comprehension, and prevention of adverse effects of medicines." In India, pharmacovigilance is still in its infancy, Pharmacovigilance monitors any adverse drug effects.Improve public health and safety in the use of medications.Contribute to the assessment of medicine's benefit, harm, effectiveness, and risk, encouraging safe, rational, and effective use.ADR (Adverse drug reaction) is a noxious and unintended response to a drug that occurs at doses normally used in man for disease prevention, diagnosis, or therapy, or for the modification of physiological function. There are several types of ADR reporting systemsbut spontaneous reporting systems is widely used in

pharmacovigilance. In this system health care professionals voluntarily reports Adverse effects in reporting forms to the national pharmacovigilance centres, this is very effective and inexpensive system.

2. Materials and Methods:

The current retrospective study was carried out by analysing the Spontaneous Adverse drug reactions reporting forms submitted by thehealth-care professionals, collected from the DIC of SVCP associated with Sri Venkateswara Institute of Medical Sciences (SVIMS) Hospital ofTirupati, Andhra Pradesh, India, during the period of 1 year from December 2021 to November 2022.

The ADRs were recorded by healthcare professionals using IPC Suspected ADR Reporting (SADRR) Forms version 1.4and contains various details like patient demographic's details: age, gender, weight, and patient initials,ADR related information: Suspected

adverse reaction (description of the event, date of onset, and recovery),other relevant medical histories, seriousness, outcomes, Suspected drugs (dose, frequency and route of administration, and durationetc.)and seriousness of the reaction, outcome of the reaction, use of concomitant medications, and additional information.

All the data from Suspected ADR Reporting forms were extracted,

The data collected is categorised based on the various characteristics like Age, Gender, Suspected drugs & their pharmacological classes, type of ADRs, and Causality assessment is done by using Naranjo causality assessment scale (ADR Probability Scale), is a method by which to assess whether there is a causal relationship between an identified untoward clinical event (ADR) and a suspected drug using a simple questionnaire to assign probability scores, based on the scores this method classifies ADRs into four types i.e., definite (9 \geq), probable (4-8), possible (1-4) and doubt full (0 \leq).

Modified Hartwig and Siegel severity assessment scale is used to determine severity of ADRs which categorize ADR Severity into 3 categories Mild, moderate, & severe by determining the ADRs level of seriousnessthere are sevenlevels based on clinical consequence, including resultant harm and intensity of medical intervention required.Level 1 and 2 fall under mild category, Level 3 and 4 fall under moderate category, Level 5, 6 and 7 fall under severe category.

The data collected from Suspected ADR Reporting forms was statistically analysed in Microsoft Excel (Microsoft Office Professional 2021), and the results were presented as charts, tables, numbers and percentages.

3. Results:

Total 83 ADR report forms is collected for period of 1 year (Dec 2021 to Nov 2022).

ADR occurrence rate is high in adults i.e.,70% in that 34.93% in males and 34.93% in females out of 83 cases 58 cases are adults in that 29 are males and 29 arefemales followed by elders ADR occurrence is 16% in that 6.02% in males and 8.43% in females out of 83 cases 13 cases are elders in that 5 are males and 7 are females, then in teenagers ADR occurrence is 14% in that 12.04% in males and 3.61% in females i.e., out of 83 cases only 12 cases are teenagers in that 10 are males and 3 are females (Table 1 & Fig 1), The ADR occurrence is high in males i.e., 53% out of 83 cases 44 cases are males and in females ADR occurrence is 47% out of 83 cases 39 cases are females.

AGE	GENI	DER
	Males (44)	Females (39)
Elders (above 60)	5	7
Adults (20-59)	29	29
Teenage (13-19)	10	3

Table1: Distribution of cases based on age and gender



Figure 1: ADR occurrence based on age and gender

The vaccines are having highest ADR occurrence i.e., 61.44% out of 83 ADR case reports 51 are Vaccines, followed by the second highest is Antibiotics i.e., 9.63% then Anti-Cancer agents 8.43%, analgesic agents 7.22%, Anti-Diabetic agents, Anti-Convulsant agents, Anti-Fungal agents, Anti-Psychotic agents, Anti-Thyroid agents and Steroids all are having 2.40% ADR occurrence, then Anti-Emetic agents, Anti-Histamine agents, Anti-Viral agents, WBC growth stimulator and PPI are having 1.20% ADR occurrence (Table 2).

Drug Category	No Of Cases	ADR Occurrence
Analgesic agents	6	7.22%
Anti-Emetic agents	1	1.20%
Anti-Biotic agents	8	9.63%
Anti-Cancer agents	7	8.43%
Anti-Diabetic agents	2	2.40%
Anti-Convulsant agents	2	2.40%
Anti-Fungal agents	2	2.40%
Anti-Histamine agents	1	1.20%
Anti-Psychotic agents	2	2.40%
Anti-Thyroid agents	2	2.40%
Anti-Viral agents	1	1.20%
WBC Growth Stimulator	1	1.20%
Steroids	2	2.40%
PPI	1	1.20%
Vaccines	51	61.44%

Table 2: Distribution of cases based on suspected drugs category



In vaccinations Covishield - 2 dose vaccine have the highest ADR occurrence i.e., 33.33%, Covishield - 1 dose vaccine have the second highest ADR occurrence i.e., 27.45%, Covaxin- 2 dose vaccine have 21.56%

ADR occurrence and Covaxin - 1 dose vaccine have 17.64%

VACCINES	NO OF CASES	ADR OCCURRENCE
Covishield- 1	14	27.45%
Covishield- 2	17	33.33%
Covaxin- 1	9	17.64%
Covaxin- 2	11	21.56%

Table 3: ADR occurrence based on suspected vaccines



Figure 3: Suspected vaccines Vs ADR occurrence

In the suspected drugsCisplatin have the highest ADR occurrence i.e., 8.88%, Kolq and Amoxicillin have the second highest ADR occurrence i.e., 6.66%, Paclitaxel, carboplatin, sulbactam, Cefoperazone, Etorioxib, Gabapin-NT have 4.44% ADR occurrence and Carbimazole, Dacarbazine, Dexamethasone, Doxycycline, Dewax eardrops, Esomeprazole,

Itraconazole, Filgrastim, Gliclazide, Hydrocortisone, Clobetasol, Haloperidol, Insulin, Lithium, Olanzapine, Podophyllum, Tinidazole, Ultracet, Prednisolone, Fluoxetine, Pyridostigmine, Pantoprazole, Tramadol, Ondansetron and Multi vitamin tablets are having the 2.22% of ADR occurrence (Table 4).

A States

SUSPECTED DRUGS	NO OF CASES	ADR OCCURRENCE
Amoxicillin	3	6.66%
Kolq	3	6.66%
Carbimazole	1	2.22%
Cetirizine	1	2.22%
Cisplatin	4	8.88%
Cefoperazone	2	4.44%
Sulbactam	2	4.44%
Carboplatin	2	4.44%
Paclitaxel	2	4.44%
Dewax ear drops	1	2.22%
Esomeprazole	1	2.22%
Itraconazole	1	2.22%
Filgrastim	1	2.22%
Gabapin-NT	2	4.44%
Etoricoxib	2	4.44%
Gliclazide	1	2.22%
Hydrocortisone	1	2.22%
Clobetasol	1	2.22%
Haloperidol	1	2.22%
Insulin	1	2.22%
Lithium	1	2.22%
Olanzapine	1	2.22%
Podophyllum	1	2.22%
Tinidazole	1	2.22%
Ultracet	1	2.22%

Prednisolone	1	2.22%
Fluoxetine	1	2.22%
Pyridostigmine	1	2.22%
Pantoprazole	1	2.22%
Tramadol	1	2.22%
Ondansetron	1	2.22%
Multi-vitamin tablets	1	2.22%

Table 4: Distribution of cases based on the suspected drugs

The most common Adverse Drug Reactions are Injection site reactions i.e., 18.34% followed by fever, fever with myalgia is the second highest ADRs caused by the drugs, i.e., 14.40% then Myalgia is the third highest ADRs caused by the drugs i.e., 12.04% followed by vomiting 6.02%, Headache and Constipation 3.60%, Dry mouth, Diarrhoea, Blisters and Rashes 2.40%. then De novo type 2 Diabetes, Fits, Cushing's Syndrome, Ecchymosis, Skin Hardening, Hyper-thyroidism, Sticky eyes & discharge, Desquamation, Neuropathic pain, Numbness, paraesthesia, Psoriasis, Shortness of breath, decreased Na+ levels and stomach pain are 1.20% (Table 5).

ADR'S	No of cases	PERCENTAGE
Denovo type-2 diabetes	1	1.20%
Constipation	3	3.60%
Fits	1	1.20%
Cushing's syndrome	1	1.20%
Injection site reactions	15	18.34%
Dry mouth	2	2.40%
Ecchymosis	1	1.20%
Fever	12	14.40%
Fever with myalgia	12	14.40%
Headache	3	3.60%

Skin hardening	1	1.20%
Hyper - thyroidism	1	1.20%
Desquamation	1	1.20%
Diarrhoea	2	2.40%
Sticky eyes and discharge	1	1.20%
Blisters	2	2.40%
Myalgia	10	12.04%
Neuropathic pain	1	1.20%
Numbness	1	1.20%
Paraesthesia	1	1.20%
Rashes	2	2.40%
Psoriasis	1	1.20%
Shortness of breath	1	1.20%
Decreased sodium	1	1.20%
Vomiting	5	6.02%
Stomach pain	1	1.20%

Table 5: Distribution of cases based on type of ADRs

According to Modified Hartwig and Siegel Severity assessment scale the Severity of ADRs in our study is mostly Mild i.e., 51.82% of the ADRs followed by 44.57% of ADRs are Moderate and only 3.61% of the ADRs are Severe

(Table6& Fig 6).

Severity	No of cases	Percentage
Mild	43	51.80%
Moderate	37	44.57%
Severe	3	3.61%

 Table 6: Severity assessment

ISSN: 2309-5288 (Print) ISSN: 2309-6152 (Online) CODEN: JCLMC4



Fig 6: Distribution of ADRs based on severity

According to the Naranjo causality assessment scale 55.43% ADRs were Probable 44.57% ADRs were possible (Table 7& Fig 7).

Table 7: Causality assessme	ent
------------------------------------	-----



Fig 7: Causality of ADRs by using Naranjo causality assessment scale

4. Discussion:

In the present retrospective study of total 83 Suspected ADR reporting forms collected from DIC of SVCP associated with SVIMS Tertiary Care Hospital, Tirupati, during the period of 1 year we determined that the ADR occurrence is high in adults 70% out of 83 cases 58 cases are adults followed by elders 16% out of 83 cases 13 cases are elders and in teenage 14%. i.e., out of 83 cases only 12 cases are teenagers.

When the ADR occurrence rate analysed based on the gender, we found out that the ADR occurrence is high in males i.e., 53% out of 83 cases 44 cases are males and in females ADR occurrence is 47% out of 83 cases 39 cases are females. Similar to this a study by Sanket S. Gaidhane et al.,^[2]stated that the occurrence of ADR was found slightly higher in males i.e., 53.62% as compared to females i.e., 46.38%. In contrast to our study Durga Prasad Thammisetty et al.,^[1]stated thatfemales (63.81%) had a higher ADR Occurrence than males (36.12%). This can explain possibly that there may be gender-specific difference in body weight, lipid composition, pharmaceutical susceptibility, or other factors could be the reason of this gender disparity in ADR occurrence. Even though the same has not been demonstrated beyond a reasonable doubt, hormonal implications or hereditary factors variances on the presence of different enzymes may exist.

Our study reveals that out of 83 case reports for adverse drug reactions, 51 of them involve vaccines, with vaccines having the highest ADR occurrence 61.44%, followed by anti-biotics at 9.63%, then analgesics at 8.84%, anti-cancer medications at 7.22%, anti-convulsant medications, anti-fungal medications, anti-psychotic medications, anti-thyroid medications, and steroids at 2.40%, and then anti-emetic, antihistamine, anti-viral, and WBC growth medications with 1.20% ADR occurrence. Almost similar to our findings the study by Adil Ali Shakur et al.,^[6] stated that the Antibiotics are the most common class of drugs causing ADRs and also study by Jerin James et al.,^[7] stated that Antibiotics were contributed to the maximum no. of ADRs which accounted for 52.5%. also study by Ramadan M Elkalmi et al.,^[8]andLiala Cardina Abu Esba et al.,^[9]stated antimicrobials are accountable for most of the ADRs then followed by analgesics.

According to our findings, the most prevalent Adverse Drug Reactions (ADRs) are injection site reactions (18.34%), fever (14.40%), and fever with myalgia (14.40%). Myalgia is the third most common ADR caused by drugs, accounting for 12.04%, Similar to our findings the study by **Sree Sudha TY et al.**,^[5] stated that the most common organ system involved was skin.

Our observational study reveals that Covishield - 2 dose vaccine have the highest ADR occurrence(17.38%), Covishield - 1 dose vaccine (14.28%), followed by Covaxin - 2 dose vaccine (11.22%) and Covaxin - 1 dose vaccine (9.18%) ADR occurrence followed by Cisplatin (4.08%),(3.06%),Amoxicillin and Kolq cefoperazone, Sulbactam, Carboplatin, Paclitaxel, Gabapin-NT and Etoricoxib are having 2.04%, Carbimazole, Dacarbazine, Dexamethasone, Doxycycline, Dewax eardrops, Esomeprazole, Itraconazole, Filgrastim, Gliclazide, Hydrocortisone, Clobetasol, Haloperidol, Lithium. Podophyllum, Insulin. Olanzapine, Fluoxetine, Prednisolone, Tinidazole, Ultracet, Pyridostigmine, Pantoprazole, Tramadol, Ondansetron and Multi vitamin tablets are having the 1.02% of ADR occurrence.

Based on our findings by using Naranjo causality assessment scale 55.43% ADRs were Probable i.e., 46 cases out of 83 is probable, 44.57% ADRs were possible i.e., 37 cases out of 83 is possible and none of the cases in our study is neither definite nor doubt full. This is similar to the study conducted by **Hari Babu et al.**,^[4]stated that 88.2% of the ADRs cases were probable while 11.7% were possibleand contrary to study conducted by **Debasish Misra et al.**,^[3] stated that in his study 37.7% were probable and 62.3% were possible reactions in both of their studiesneither definite nor doubt full reactions is present just like in our study.

Severity assessment is carried out by using modified Hartwig and Siegel scale the severity of ADRs in our study is mostly mild, with 51.82% of ADRs being mild, 44.57% being moderate, and only 3.61% being severe. This is almost similar to the study by **Sanket S. Gaidhane et al.**,^[2]72.73% ADRs belonged to the mild category and 27.27% ADRs belonged to the moderate category. and also, the study by **Hari Babu et al.**,^[4]stated that mild (84.3%) and while moderate is (15.68%).

5. Conclusion:

In our conclusion Vaccines, Anti-biotics, and Analgesics are having the high ADR occurrence, the possible explanation for the Vaccines ADR occurrence can be due to the pandemic period vaccines brought into the usage in very short period of time than usual, so the proper attention is needed for the vaccines in order to prevent the ADRs. In case of Antibiotics and analgesics the irrational prescription might be the



reason, hence the rational use of Antibiotics and analgesics may prevent the ADRs.We conclude that our data may be help full to the health care professionals in order to minimize ADRs and increase the patient quality of life.

References:

- [1] Durga Prasad Thammisetty, Deepishka Pemmasani, Sai Deepak Gali, Ramesh Babu Atmakur, Rajalakshmi Rukmangathen, Vasundhara Devi Brahmanapalli, Study of adverse drug reactions to antiretroviral therapy in a tertiary care hospital, Tirupati, *Perspectives in Clinical Research*, 2019, 11(4): 158-163.
- [2] Sanket S. Gaidhane, Akash A. Khobragade, Abhijeet Joshi, Monisha S. Chavan, Likith H. V, Akshay A. Chaware, A Pharmacovigilance study in patients of chronic non-infective respiratory diseases attending outpatient department of pulmonary medicine in a tertiary care teaching hospital, *International Journal ofBasic and Clinical Pharmacology*, 2021,10(6):675-682.
- [3] Debasish Misra, Sansita Parida, Manika Bose, Smitha Das, Swati Mishra, Sudhanshu S. Mishra, Adverse cutaneous drug reactions reporting in a tertiary care teaching hospital in Eastern India: a retrospective study, International Journal of Basic and Clinical Pharmacology, 2020, 9(9):1381-1385.
- [4] D. Phani Bhushan1, R. Hari Babu, J. Rashi, M. Tabitha Satya Suvidha2, Mounika Susanthi U, T. Sri Lekha, A pharmacovigilance study of antidepressant agents in psychiatric patients at a tertiary care teaching hospital, *International Journal of Research in Medical Sciences*, 2020, 8(5):1745-1749.
- [5] Sree Sudha TY, Yakaiah Vangoori and Anjali Mary Varghese, A Profile of Adverse Drug Reactions in a Tertiary Care Hospital and

Associated Factors, *Biomed Pharmocol*, 2021,14(1).

- [6] Adil Ali Shakur, Sukalyan Saha Roy, Lalit Mohan, Manish Kumar, Hithesh Mishra, Harihar Dikshit, Monish Likithkar, Analysis of reporting adverse drug reactions in a tertiary care hospital: One year survey, *IP International Journal of Comprehensive and Advanced Pharmacology*, 2020, 5(1):25-30.
- [7] Jarin james, Jamuna Rani, A Prospective Study of Adverse drug Reactions in a Tertiary Care Hospital in South India, Asian Journal of Pharmaceutical and Clinical Research, 2022, 13(1):89-92.
- [8] Ramadan M Elkalmi, Mohamed Hassan Elnaem, Nurmisaliza Mohd Sapar, Ali Blebil, Assessment of the Reporting of Adverse Drug Reactions in a Malaysian Retrospective Clinical Training Centre: A Short Communication, *Journal of Pharmacy and Bio allied Sciences*, 2021, 13(3):325-330.
- [9] Laila Carolina Abu Esba, Ghada Al Mardawi, Mohammed I AlJasser, Badr Aljohani, Amjed Abu Alburak, Adverse Drug Reactions Spontaneously Reported at a Tertiary Care Hospital and Preventable Measures Implemented, *Journal of Clinical Pharmacy and Therapeutics*, 2021, 46(2) :460-469.
- [10] Baijayanti Rath, Manas Ranjan Naik, Bhabagrahi Rath, Renuka Bhai, Jai Prakash, Cutaneous Adverse Drug Reactions in a tertiary care hospital: An Observational study, *Journal of Pharmacovigilance and drug research*, 2020,1(1): 6-9.
- [11] Sana Parveen Shaik, Rajan nerukar, Adverse drug reaction profile of anticancer agents in a tertiary care hospital: an observational study, *Current Drug Safety*, 2022, 17(2):136-142.