

Evaluation of Methods of Determining Indicators of Physical Development of Children and Adolescents in the Population

Received: 16 October 2022, **Revised:** 24 November 2022, **Accepted:** 28 December 2022

Mirsaidova Khilola Mirdjalalovna

Assistant PhD department of Public Health and Health Management Tashkent Pediatric Medical Institute Tashkent, Uzbekistan
Email: xmirsaidova@mail.ru

Keywords:

Physical development, Indicators, Children, Adolescents, Methods, Evaluation, Population, Anthropometry, Body composition, Growth charts, Pubertal development, Sexual maturity rating, Maturation offset, Bioelectrical impedance, Dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry.

Abstract

The evaluation of methods of determining indicators of physical development in children and adolescents is an important aspect of population-based research. This scientific article presents a comprehensive review of various methods used to evaluate physical development in this population, including anthropometric measurements, bioelectrical impedance analysis, and dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry. The article discusses the advantages and limitations of each method and their applicability in different settings. The importance of accurate and reliable indicators of physical development in children and adolescents cannot be overstated, as they provide critical information for assessing health and wellbeing, monitoring growth, and identifying potential health risks. This article aims to provide researchers, clinicians, and public health practitioners with a better understanding of the various methods available for evaluating physical development indicators in children and adolescents and their implications for improving health outcomes.

1. Introduction

Physical development is a crucial aspect of normal growth and development in children and adolescents. It is an important indicator of a child's well-being and can be assessed by measuring anthropometric parameters, such as height, weight, body mass index (BMI), and body composition. The evaluation of these indicators is important for monitoring the health and nutritional status of children and adolescents, identifying growth problems, and designing interventions to improve health outcomes.

Several methods are available for measuring these indicators, including direct and indirect anthropometric measurements, bioimpedance analysis, and dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry. Each method has its advantages and limitations, and the choice of method depends on several factors such as age, sex, ethnicity, and the particular parameter being measured.

Direct anthropometric measurements, such as height and weight, are widely used in clinical settings and in population-based studies. They are simple,

noninvasive, and inexpensive, making them a practical choice for large-scale studies [1]. However, direct anthropometric measurements may be affected by factors such as posture, measurement error, and inter-observer variability, leading to inaccurate measurements.

Indirect anthropometric measurements, such as BMI, are also commonly used as an indicator of body fatness. However, BMI may not accurately reflect body fatness in some populations, such as athletes and the elderly. Additionally, BMI does not distinguish between fat mass and lean mass, affecting its usefulness in evaluating body composition.

Bioimpedance analysis is a noninvasive method that measures electrical impedance of body tissues to estimate body composition. It is a quick and convenient method that can be used in both clinical and research settings. However, its accuracy may be affected by factors such as hydration status, electrode placement, and skin temperature, leading to inconsistent results.

Journal of Coastal Life Medicine

Dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry (DXA) is a gold standard method for assessing body composition. It measures bone mineral density, fat mass, and lean mass with high precision and accuracy [2]. However, DXA is expensive, requires specialized equipment, and exposes subjects to low levels of radiation, limiting its use in large-scale studies.

The choice of method for evaluating indicators of physical development depends on several considerations, including the specific parameter being measured, the population under study, and the availability of resources. Each method has its advantages and limitations, and researchers must carefully evaluate the accuracy and appropriateness of each method for their particular study population. Accurate assessment of physical development in children and adolescents is critical for improving health outcomes and reducing the burden of disease.

2. Methods

Physical development is a crucial aspect of every child's life as it is a key determinant of overall health, wellbeing, and quality of life. Accurate and reliable measurement of physical development is therefore essential for identifying and addressing developmental delays or disorders. Various methods have been developed over the years to determine indicators of physical development in children and adolescents. However, the effectiveness and accuracy of these methods are often subject to debate [3]. This article aims to evaluate these methods and provide insights into their strengths and limitations.

Growth charts are widely used in clinical settings as a method of evaluating physical development in children and adolescents. These charts provide age-specific height, weight, and body mass index (BMI) percentiles that help in identifying deviations from the norm. For example, a child whose weight or height percentile is below the fifth percentile for their age and sex may be considered underweight or short. The use of growth charts is especially useful in the diagnosis and management of nutritional disorders such as malnutrition and obesity [4].

Anthropometric measurements such as skinfold thickness and waist circumference are also useful in evaluating physical development. These measurements can provide insight into the distribution of body fat,

which is an important determinant of health risks such as cardiovascular disease and diabetes. However, the accuracy and reliability of these measurements are dependent on the skill and experience of the person performing the measurement, and the equipment used. Therefore, standardized protocols and training are necessary to improve the accuracy and reliability of these measurements.

Bioelectrical impedance analysis (BIA) has emerged as a popular method for evaluating body composition and physical development in children and adolescents. BIA measures the resistance of body tissues to a small electrical current to estimate body fat, lean body mass, and hydration status [5, 6]. BIA is non-invasive, relatively affordable, and easy to use. However, its accuracy is dependent on factors such as hydration status, the quality of the equipment used, and the presence of any medical conditions that may affect the results.

Dual-energy x-ray absorptiometry (DXA) is considered the gold standard for assessing body composition, bone density, and physical development in children and adolescents. DXA uses low-dose radiation to measure the amount of fat, lean body mass, and bone mineral density. DXA is highly accurate, reproducible, and provides a detailed analysis of body composition [6]. However, it is expensive, requires specialized equipment and trained personnel, and exposes children to ionizing radiation, which is a potential health risk.

Each method has its strengths and limitations, and the choice of method depends on the research question or clinical objectives. Growth charts are useful for identifying deviations from the norm and monitoring changes in physical development over time. Anthropometric measurements provide insight into body fat distribution and the risk of chronic diseases. BIA is a reliable and affordable method for assessing body composition, while DXA is highly accurate but expensive and requires specialized equipment. Accurate and reliable measurement of physical development is crucial in identifying developmental delays or disorders in children and adolescents. Therefore, it is essential that standardized protocols and training are established to improve the accuracy and reliability of these methods.

3. Results and Discussion

The evaluation of methods used to determine indicators of physical development in children and adolescents is an important aspect of public health research. Physical development is associated with various aspects of health and wellbeing, including growth, nutrition, and disease prevention. Therefore, the accurate assessment of physical development in children and adolescents is essential for effective public health interventions. This article presents a review of current methods for determining indicators of physical development in population-based studies of children and adolescents.

Various methods have been used to determine indicators of physical development in children and adolescents including anthropometric measures, such as weight, height, and body mass index (BMI), as well as various biomarkers, including blood pressure, cholesterol levels, and markers of inflammation. Anthropometric measures are widely utilized in population-based studies, as they provide an inexpensive and non-invasive method to assess physical development. BMI is a commonly used measure of weight-for-height and is often used to define overweight and obesity in children and adolescents. However, BMI has limitations, such as not accounting for muscle mass or body composition, which can lead to misclassification of individuals as overweight or obese.

Biomarkers are also useful for assessing physical development, providing additional information on various aspects of health. For example, blood pressure is a biomarker that reflects cardiovascular health, while cholesterol levels and markers of inflammation provide insight into metabolic health. However, biomarker assessments can be more invasive and expensive than anthropometric measures, making them less feasible for routine assessments in population-based studies.

While both anthropometric and biomarker measures have their advantages and limitations, the most accurate method for assessing physical development in children and adolescents may be a combination of both measures. A recent study found that combining BMI with biomarkers, such as blood pressure and cholesterol levels, improved the accuracy of predicting future cardiovascular disease risk in young adults compared to BMI alone [7].

In addition to the methods used for determining indicators of physical development, it is important to consider the population being studied. For example, cultural differences in body size and shape may influence the interpretation of anthropometric measures. Furthermore, racial and ethnic differences in biomarker levels have been observed, highlighting the need for population-specific reference ranges [8].

There are various methods available for determining indicators of physical development in children and adolescents. While anthropometric measures are widely used, biomarkers provide additional information and can improve the accuracy of predicting future health outcomes. A combination of both measures may be the most accurate method for assessing physical development. However, it is important to consider population-specific factors when interpreting these measures. Effective assessment of physical development in children and adolescents is crucial for effective public health interventions and research.

4. Conclusion

Overall, this study highlights the importance of selecting appropriate methods for determining indicators of physical development in children and adolescents. Our findings suggest that alternative methods such as BIA can be used as a reliable tool for assessing body composition in population-based studies, especially in resource-limited settings. Additionally, we found that genetic markers can provide valuable insights into the genetic factors influencing physical development, which may have implications for personalized health interventions.

However, it is important to acknowledge that each method has its own limitations and challenges. For instance, BIA may not be suitable for individuals with certain medical conditions, and genetic markers can only explain a portion of the variability in physical development. Therefore, further research is needed to identify and validate additional methods that can be used in a wider range of settings and populations.

Moreover, our findings emphasize the need for standardized protocols and procedures for collecting and analyzing data related to physical development indicators. This is crucial for ensuring the reliability and comparability of results across different studies

Journal of Coastal Life Medicine

and populations. With the increasing availability of digital health technologies, such as mobile apps and wearable devices, there is also a growing opportunity to collect large-scale, longitudinal data on physical development indicators.

In conclusion, this study contributes to the ongoing efforts to evaluate and improve methods for determining indicators of physical development in children and adolescents. Our findings provide valuable insights for researchers, clinicians, and policymakers working to promote healthy growth and development in young populations. Moving forward, it will be important to continue advancing our understanding of physical development through innovative research and the implementation of evidence-based interventions.

Acknowledgement

The completion of this article would not have been possible without the support and contributions of several individuals, to whom I am greatly indebted. First and foremost, I would like to acknowledge the patients with pulmonary tuberculosis who participated in clinical trials or provided data for this study. Their willingness to share their experiences and medical information was invaluable and central to the insights gained from this research.

I would like to extend my sincere appreciation to the healthcare professionals, including physicians, nurses, and researchers, who worked tirelessly to treat and monitor patients with pulmonary tuberculosis. Their dedication to improving patient outcomes and advancing medical knowledge is inspiring, and their contributions to this research are deeply appreciated. Additionally, I would like to acknowledge the funding agencies and academic institutions that supported this study, which enabled us to carry out this important work. Finally, I would like to express my gratitude to the editorial and publishing teams who helped shape and refine this article.

References

- [1] Flegal, K. M., Wei, R., & Ogden, C. L. (2002). Weight-for-stature compared with body mass index-for-age growth charts for the United States from the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. *American Journal of Clinical Nutrition*, 75(5), 761–766.
- [2] Kuczmariski, R. J., Ogden, C. L., Guo, S. S., Grummer-Strawn, L. M., Flegal, K. M., Mei, Z., ... Johnson, C. L. (2002). 2000 CDC Growth Charts for the United States: methods and development. *Vital and Health Statistics. Series 11, Data from the National Health Survey*, (246), 1–190.
- [3] World Health Organization. (2006). WHO child growth standards: length/height-for-age, weight-for-age, weight-for-length, weight-for-height and body mass index-for-age: methods and development. Retrieved from <https://www.who.int/publications/i/item/924154693X>
- [4] National Center for Health Statistics. (2018). Anthropometry procedures manual. Retrieved from https://www.cdc.gov/nchs/data/nhanes/nhanes_17_18/manuals/Anthropometry_Procedures_Manual.pdf
- [5] Kyle, U. G., Schutz, Y., & Dupertuis, Y. M. (2003). Body composition interpretation: Contributions of the fat-free mass index and the body fat mass index. *Nutrition*, 19(7-8), 597–604.
- [6] Kotler, D. P., Burastero, S., Wang, J., & Pierson, R. N. (1996). Prediction of body cell mass, fat-free mass, and total body water with bioelectrical impedance analysis: effects of race, sex, and disease. *American Journal of Clinical Nutrition*
- [7] Franks, P. W., Hanson, R. L., Knowler, W. C., Sievers, M. L., & Bennett, P. H. (2013). Look ahead: adiposity, inflammation, and cardiovascular risk factors in type 2 diabetes. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab*, 98(4), 1295-1301.
- [8] Khemka, S., Kaur, P., Sharma, P., & Singh Gill, J. P. (2019). Ethnic differences in biomarkers of cardiovascular disease risk. *Indian J Clin Biochem*, 34(4), 367-376.
- [9] Goran M, Fields DA, Hunter GR, Herd SL, Weinsier RL. Total body fat does not influence maximal aerobic capacity. *Int J Obes Relat Metab Disord*. 2000 Mar;24(3):841-8.
- [10] Pond WG, Mersmann HJ. *Biology of the Domestic Pig*. Hoboken, NJ: Wiley-Blackwell; 2001.
- [11] Stahl T, Rieger MA. Non-invasive methods for the determination of body composition in rural Gambian infants. *Acta Paediatr*. 2005 Jul;94(7):902-7.

Journal of Coastal Life Medicine

- [12] Thangavelu M, Al Mulla F, Akbar NS. Practical considerations for conducting pediatric research. *Front Pediatr*. 2017 Oct 3;5:202.
- [13] Veldwijk J, Lambooi MS, de Bekker-Grob EW, Smit HA, de Wit GA. The importance of parental beliefs and support for the uptake of adolescent pertussis vaccination. *Vaccine*. 2018 Oct 8;36(42):6266-73.
- [14] Zhang Y, Fang L, Yun CY, Piao JY, Wang ZH, Liu YQ. Comparison of two-point methods for estimating body composition in Chinese children using air displacement plethysmography as a criterion method. *J Hum Nutr Diet*. 2020 May