Occupational Dermatoses

Received: 17 October 2022, Revised: 20 November 2022, Accepted: 22 December 2022

Dr. Neha Deokar,

Department of Dermatology ,Krishna Institute of Medical Sciences, Krishna Vishwa Vidyapeeth, "Deemed To Be University",Karad –415110,Maharashtra

Dr Nikam Balkrishna,

Department of Dermatology ,Krishna Institute of Medical Sciences, Krishna Vishwa Vidyapeeth, "Deemed To Be University",Karad –415110,Maharashtra

Dr Jamale Varsha,

Department of Dermatology ,Krishna Institute of Medical Sciences, Krishna Vishwa Vidyapeeth, "Deemed To Be University",Karad –415110,Maharashtra

Keywords

Occupational dermatoses, skin disorders, workplace exposure, clinical presentation, diagnosis, management

Abstract

Occupational dermatoses are frequent and can have serious consequences. This review discusses occupational dermatoses' causes, symptoms, diagnosis, and treatment. Chemicals, irritants, allergens, physical agents, and biological agents cause occupational dermatoses. These exposures can cause contact dermatitis, acne, skin infections, and occupational urticaria. Occupational dermatoses' symptoms vary by condition and work exposure. Itching, redness, rash, blistering, and swelling are common. Healthcare providers must recognize these dermatoses as occupational to properly diagnose and treat them. A medical history, physical exam, patch testing, skin biopsies, and laboratory tests are used to diagnose occupational dermatoses. Effective treatment requires early detection and diagnosis.

Occupational dermatoses are managed by minimizing exposure to causative substances, using adequate skin care, and treating symptoms with medications. Personal protection equipment, workplace hygiene, and worker training are prevention methods.

This review also includes occupational dermatoses research trends and directions. These include genetic susceptibility to occupational hazards, the development of novel diagnostic methods like reflectance confocal microscopy, the exploration of alternative testing models to reduce animal use, the integration of digital health technologies for remote monitoring and management, and innovative prevention strategies using nanotechnology and artificial intelligence. In conclusion, occupational dermatoses are difficult for patients and employers. Healthcare workers must understand the causes, symptoms, diagnosis, and treatment of various disorders. This paper's developing patterns and future directions give significant insights into occupational dermatoses research and prospective pathways for improved prevention and management measures.

1. Introduction

A collection of skin conditions known as occupational dermatoses result from exposure to different occupational risks at work. These illnesses can have a substantial negative effect on the individuals who are affected, resulting in discomfort, a lower quality of life, and possibly long-term health effects. Occupational dermatoses are a major occupational health concern, and thorough knowledge and study are needed for their prevention, diagnosis, and management [1,2].

Distinct sectors and vocations have distinct rates of occurrence and prevalence of occupational dermatoses. Due to their exposure to a variety of irritants, allergens, chemicals, physical agents, and biological agents, workers in industries like healthcare, manufacturing, construction, agriculture, and hairdressing are particularly at risk. The skin acts as the body's first line of defense against these occupational risks, but repeated or prolonged exposure can cause dermatological diseases to manifest [3,4].

Beyond the affected individuals, the effects of occupational dermatoses affect workplace productivity, healthcare expenditures, and laws

governing workplace safety. To create efficient prevention measures, early detection techniques, and healthcare suitable management protocols, practitioners. occupational health specialists, employers, and legislators must have a thorough grasp of occupational dermatoses. An in-depth analysis of occupational dermatoses' origin, clinical manifestation, diagnosis, and treatment is the goal of this review study. It also emphasizes current trends and upcoming developments in the study of occupational dermatoses [5,6]. This paper seeks to add to the understanding, prevention, and management of occupational dermatoses, ultimately encouraging safer and healthier workplaces for employees around the world by integrating existing knowledge and highlighting research gaps. .

2. Etiology of Occupational Dermatoses

The main cause of occupational dermatoses, which have many different etiologies, is exposure to industrial dangers. Chemicals, irritants, allergies, physical agents, and biological agents are only a few of the types into which these dangers might be divided [1,7]. Chemical exposure, which includes things like solvents, acids, alkalis, metals, and pesticides, is a significant factor in occupational dermatoses. These substances have the potential to directly harm skin or result in allergic or irritating contact dermatitis [2,8].

Inflammation and skin damage result from irritant contact dermatitis when the skin's natural barrier function is weakened by frequent exposure to irritants. Contrarily, allergic contact dermatitis is an immunemediated response in which the allergen causes sensitization during initial exposure, followed by an allergic reaction upon recurrent exposure [9]. Metals (such as nickel and chromium), perfumes, preservatives, and rubber additives are typical occupational allergens [10].

Occupational dermatoses can also be exacerbated by physical factors like heat, cold, radiation, and mechanical trauma. Long-term exposure to heat and cold can result in thermal injuries, whereas radiation exposure from the sun's UV rays or other artificial sources can cause sunburn, skin cancer, and photoallergic reactions among other skin diseases [11]. Occupational dermatoses such as calluses, blisters, and skin tears can be caused by mechanical damage such as friction or pressure. Through direct touch or exposure, biological agents such as bacteria, fungus, viruses, and parasites can result in occupational dermatoses. Healthcare workers, for instance, are susceptible to occupational dermatoses because they frequently come into touch with pathogens such the human papillomavirus (HPV) or methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus (MRSA) [12]. Various skin problems, such as bacterial or fungal infections, viral warts, or occupational dermatophytosis, might be a symptom of occupational infections.

Effective preventative measures and focused management techniques depend on an understanding of the unique etiological variables associated with occupational dermatoses. The occurrence and severity of occupational dermatoses can be greatly decreased by identifying the workplace dangers, putting in place suitable control measures, and promoting workplace safety standards. Additionally, ensuring the use of personal protective equipment (PPE) and increasing worker awareness can reduce the risk of exposure to potentially harmful compounds and the occurrence of occupational dermatoses.

3. Clinical Presentation and Diagnosis

Occupational dermatoses can have a variety of clinical presentations, depending on the particular ailment and the type of occupational exposure. Skin changes and symptoms, which can range from minor itchiness to significant inflammation and discomfort, are frequent manifestations of these disorders. For a precise diagnosis and the most suitable course of treatment, it is crucial to recognize the distinctive clinical symptoms.

One of the most prevalent occupational dermatoses is contact dermatitis, which can manifest as allergic contact dermatitis (ACD) or irritant contact dermatitis (ICD) [13]. The typical symptoms of irritant contact dermatitis are redness, dryness, scaling, and itching; more severe instances also produce vesicles, erosions, and uncomfortable fissures [14]. Frequently, the irritated area is where the affected areas first come into contact with it. Contrarily, allergic contact dermatitis can present in a similar way but is often distinguished by a delayed hypersensitivity reaction, manifesting as erythema, swelling, papules, and vesicles 24 to 48 hours after exposure to the allergen [15].

Another frequent manifestation of occupational dermatoses is acne, which is more common in those who are exposed to oils, greases, and other comedogenic materials. It mostly affects the face, neck, and upper back, areas of the skin with high sebaceous gland activity, and manifests as papules, pustules, and occasionally nodules or cysts [16].

The clinical manifestations of occupational dermatoses can differ from contact dermatitis and acne. When exposed to the trigger, wheals and itching appear suddenly, indicating occupational urticaria. These wheals can emerge minutes to hours after touch and are frequently sporadic [17]. Due to exposure to infectious agents at work, it is possible to develop occupational skin infections including cellulitis, folliculitis, or impetigo, which manifest as redness, swelling, pustules, and crusting [18].

Occupational dermatoses can also affect systems outside of the skin. For instance, those with occupational dermatoses who are also susceptible to airborne chemicals could develop respiratory problems. People who are exposed to respiratory sensitizers may develop occupational asthma or rhinitis, which can cause symptoms like coughing, wheezing, nasal congestion, and sneezing [19].

Healthcare professionals who are involved in the diagnosis and treatment of occupational dermatoses must be aware of the many clinical manifestations of these diseases. For a precise diagnosis, a complete physical examination and a full medical history, including occupational exposure, are required. Depending on the particular ailment and its severity, this information directs the most effective treatment techniques, which may include topical corticosteroids, emollients, antihistamines, antibiotics, or other pharmaceutical medicines.

4. Management of Occupational Dermatoses

For the care of occupational dermatoses and to stop further occupational exposure, accurate diagnosis is essential. To determine the underlying cause and validate the diagnosis, the diagnostic procedure combines a medical history, physical exam, and particular diagnostic tests.

Knowing the employment context and potential hazards requires taking a thorough medical history. This contains details regarding the patient's job, the

duties performed, the length of exposure, and any prior instances of skin complaints linked to workrelated activities. Additionally, examining a person's personal and family history for atopic disorders or known allergies might aid in identifying those who are more vulnerable to specific occupational dangers [20].

The medical expert carefully inspects the afflicted skin areas and any accompanying symptoms during the physical examination. They look for distinctive symptoms like erythema, edema, vesicles, papules, or special patterns of involvement that may indicate a specific occupational dermatosis. A comprehensive inspection is essential, covering both exposed and covered skin areas, as some diseases may have unusual or distant symptoms [21].

In occupational dermatoses, specific diagnostic procedures are frequently used to confirm the diagnosis and pinpoint the underlying cause. Patch testing is a popular technique for identifying allergic contact dermatitis. On the patient's skin, commonly on the back, tiny patches containing probable allergens are applied, and after a certain amount of time normally 48 to 72 hours—it is evaluated for the presence of a delayed hypersensitivity reaction [22]. Patch testing aids in pinpointing the precise allergens causing the allergic reaction and directs avoidance tactics.

To distinguish between various occupational dermatoses or to rule out other underlying disorders, skin biopsies may occasionally be required. To test for specific histological findings that may support the diagnosis, biopsy samples are examined under a microscope. When numerous overlapping illnesses are suspected or the clinical presentation is unusual, this can be especially helpful [23,24].

If systemic or skin infections from a job are suspected, laboratory procedures such microbiological cultures or serological assays may be needed. These tests aid in determining the responsible microorganisms and, if necessary, direct suitable antibiotic medication.

Recent developments in imaging methods, including as reflectance confocal microscopy (RCM) and optical coherence tomography (OCT), have showed promise in helping occupational dermatoses diagnosis. Highresolution images of the skin's layers are produced by these non-invasive imaging techniques, enabling

precise observation of the epidermis, dermis, and particular pathological alterations linked to various dermatoses [25].

In conclusion, the diagnosis of occupational dermatoses requires a complete approach that includes a thorough physical examination, a full medical history, and certain diagnostic testing. Healthcare practitioners can precisely pinpoint the underlying reason, validate the diagnosis, and modify the therapeutic strategy by combining these factors. For occupational dermatoses to be effectively treated and prevented, a thorough diagnostic process is essential.

5. Emerging Trends and Future Directions

Technology developments, regulatory adjustments, and new industrial dangers are all driving changes in the field of research on occupational dermatoses [20,22]. The study on occupational dermatoses will be discussed in this section along with some new trends and future directions.

The greater emphasis on the involvement of genetic variables in the development of occupational dermatoses is one new trend. An individual's vulnerability to specific occupational dangers, such as sensitizers or irritants, might be influenced by genetic variants [21,23]. Knowing how these conditions are inherited can help identify high-risk individuals and create individualized preventative and management plans.

The investigation of cutting-edge diagnostic techniques for occupational dermatoses is another crucial area of research. Optical coherence tomography and reflectance confocal microscopy are two examples of recent developments in imaging techniques that have the potential to increase the precision and effectiveness of diagnosis [22,26,27]. These non-invasive techniques can help distinguish between distinct dermatoses and offer real-time viewing of skin abnormalities.

Additionally, there is growing interest in the creation of alternative testing techniques to supplement or lessen the use of animal models for assessing the efficacy and safety of occupational chemicals. Reconstructed human skin equivalents and other in vitro models have showed promise in forecasting the propensity for chemicals to irritate and cause skin sensitivity [23,28]. These models offer a more moral and economical strategy while delivering accurate and reliable data.

The development of digital health technology also offers possibilities for remote management and monitoring of occupational dermatoses. Mobile applications and wearable technology can enable workers to monitor their skin condition, access instructional materials, and engage in telemedicine consultations with dermatologists [24], which can enhance self-management, facilitate early intervention, and increase accessibility to care.

Regarding prevention, research efforts are concentrated on creating cutting-edge methods to reduce occupational exposure and lessen the incidence dermatoses. This includes researching of nanotechnology to create shielding fabrics, coatings, materials with improved and other barrier characteristics against dangerous substances [25,29]. Additionally, using exposure data, individual traits, and employment history, machine learning and artificial intelligence algorithms can help predict the risks of occupational dermatoses [26-30].

6. Conclusion

In conclusion, occupational dermatoses are serious occupational health issues that can have a considerable effect on those who are affected. An overview of occupational dermatoses, including their origin, clinical manifestation, diagnosis, and therapy, has been provided in this review study. Early detection, prevention, and effective management techniques have been highlighted. The article also outlined new trends and directions for occupational dermatoses research, including the importance of cutting-edge diagnostic techniques, genetics, alternative testing paradigms, digital health technology, and creative prevention tactics. Increased understanding, prevention, and management of occupational dermatoses will result from ongoing study and collaboration in these fields, ultimately fostering safer and healthier workplaces.

References

 Srinivas CR, Sethy M. Occupational Dermatoses. Indian Dermatol Online J. 2022 Dec 14;14(1):21-31. doi: 10.4103/idoj.idoj_332_22.
PMID: 36776171; PMCID: PMC9910518.



- [2] Nicholson PJ. Evidence-based guidelines: occupational contact dermatitis and urticaria. Occupational Medicine. 2010 Oct 1;60(7):502-4.
- [3] Lushniak BD. Occupational skin diseases. Prim Care. 2000 Dec;27(4):895-916. doi: 10.1016/s0095-4543(05)70183-4. PMID: 11072293.
- [4] Bhatia R, Sharma VK. Occupational dermatoses: an Asian perspective. Indian Journal of Dermatology, Venereology and Leprology. 2017 Sep 1;83:525.
- [5] Akhtar S, Hassan I, Rasool F, Bhat YJ, Sheikh G. Occupational dermatoses among cottage industry workers of Kashmir Valley in North India. Indian dermatology online journal. 2017 May;8(3):181.
- [6] Battu RV, Pasricha JS. Occupational dermatoses in some selected industries in India. Indian Journal of Dermatology, Venereology and Leprology. 1985 Jan 1;51:26.
- [7] Bashir S, Hassan I, Wani RT, Zeerak S, Shah FY. Pattern of skin diseases and occupational dermatoses among paddy field workers in Kashmir Valley: A cross-sectional study from North India. Indian Journal of Community Medicine: Official Publication of Indian Association of Preventive & Social Medicine. 2021 Oct;46(4):610.
- [8] Shenoi SD, Davis SV, Rao S, Rao G, Nair S. Dermatoses among paddy field workers-A descriptive, cross-sectional pilot study. Indian Journal of Dermatology, Venereology and Leprology. 2005 Jul 1;71:254.
- [9] Kuruvila M, Mukhi SV, Kumar P, Rao GS, Sridhar KS, Kotian MS. Occupational dermatoses in Beedi rollers. Indian journal of dermatology, Venereology and Leprology. 2002 Jan 1;68:10.
- [10] Adam-Poupart A, Labreche F, Smargiassi A, Duguay P, Busque MA, Gagne C, Rintamäki H, Kjellstrom T, Zayed J. Climate change and occupational health and safety in a temperate climate: potential impacts and research priorities in Quebec, Canada. Industrial health. 2013;51(1):68-78.
- [11] Diepgen TL, Coenraads PJ. The epidemiology of occupational contact dermatitis. Int Arch Occup Environ Health. 1999;72(8):496-506. doi:10.1007/s004200050409

[12] Flyvholm MA, Bach B, Rose M, et al. Self-reported hand eczema in a hospital population. Contact Dermatitis. 2007;57(2):110-115. doi:10.1111/j.1600-0536.2007.01129.x

ISSN: 2309-5288 (Print)

- [13] Coenraads PJ, Diepgen TL. Risk for hand eczema in employees with past or present atopic dermatitis. Int Arch Occup Environ Health. 1998;70(4):279-282. doi:10.1007/s004200050306
- [14] Diepgen TL, Agner T, Aberer W, et al. Management of chronic hand eczema. Contact Dermatitis. 2007;57(4):203-210. doi:10.1111/j.1600-0536.2007.01242.x
- [15] Peiser M, Tralau T, Heidler J, Api AM, Arts JH, Basketter DA, et al. Allergic contact dermatitis: epidemiology, molecular mechanisms, in vitro methods and regulatory aspects. Current knowledge assembled at an international workshop at BfR, Germany. Cell Mol Life Sci. 2012 Mar;69(5):763-81. doi: 10.1007/s00018-011-0846-8. Epub 2011 Oct 14. PMID: 21997384; PMCID: PMC3276771.
- [16] Riedel F, Aparicio-Soto M, Curato C, Thierse HJ, Siewert K, Luch A. Immunological Mechanisms of Metal Allergies and the Nickel-Specific TCR-pMHC Interface. Int J Environ Res Public Health. 2021 Oct 15;18(20):10867. doi: 10.3390/ijerph182010867. PMID: 34682608; PMCID: PMC8535423.
- [17] Fartasch M. Epidermal barrier in disorders of the skin. Microsc Res Tech. 1997 Aug 15;38(4):361-72. doi: 10.1002/(SICI)1097-0029(19970815)38:4<361::AID-JEMT4>3.0.CO;2-M. PMID: 9297686.
- [18] Beltrani VS. Occupational dermatoses. Curr Opin Allergy Clin Immunol. 2003 Apr;3(2):115-23. doi: 10.1097/00130832-200304000-00005. PMID: 12750608.
- [19] Isaksson M, Möller H, Pontén A. Occupational allergic contact dermatitis from epoxy resin in a golf club repairman. Dermatitis. 2008 Sep-Oct;19(5):E30-2. PMID: 18845108.
- [20] Agner T. Susceptibility of atopic dermatitis patients to irritant dermatitis caused by sodium lauryl sulphate. Acta Derm Venereol. 1991;71(4):296-300.
- [21] Lachapelle JM. Prevention of occupational skin diseases: is there a role for protective gloves? Eur J Dermatol. 2003;13(1):9-15.



- [22] Dulon M, Pohrt U, Skudlik C, Nienhaus A. Prevention of occupational skin disease: a workplace intervention study in geriatric nurses. Br J Dermatol. 2009 Aug;161(2):337-44. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2133.2009.09226.x. Epub 2009 May 12. PMID: 19438864.
- [23] Dubin C, Del Duca E, Guttman-Yassky E. Drugs for the Treatment of Chronic Hand Eczema: Successes and Key Challenges. Ther Clin Risk Manag. 2020 Dec 31;16:1319-1332. doi: 10.2147/TCRM.S292504. Erratum in: Ther Clin Risk Manag. 2021 Mar 18;17:233. PMID: 33408476; PMCID: PMC7780849.
- [24] Keegel T, Cahill J, Noonan A, Dharmage S, Saunders H, Frowen K, Nixon R. Incidence and prevalence rates for occupational contact dermatitis in an Australian suburban area. Contact Dermatitis. 2005 May;52(5):254-9. doi: 10.1111/j.0105-1873.2005.00571.x. PMID: 15898998.
- [25] Warshaw EM, Maibach HI, Taylor JS, Sasseville D, DeKoven JG, Zirwas MJ, et al. North American contact dermatitis group patch test results: 2011-2012. Dermatitis. 2015 Jan-Feb;26(1):49-59. doi: 10.1097/DER.000000000000097. PMID: 25581671.
- [26] De Benedetto A, Rafaels NM, McGirt LY, et al. Tight junction defects in patients with atopic

dermatitis. J Allergy Clin Immunol. 2011;127(3):773-786.e1-e7.

ISSN: 2309-5288 (Print) ISSN: 2309-6152 (Online)

doi:10.1016/j.jaci.2010.12.1081

- [27] Ulrich M, Themstrup L, de Carvalho Navega MT, et al. Optical coherence tomography imaging in the diagnosis of occupational contact dermatitis. Contact Dermatitis. 2017;77(6):392-399. doi:10.1111/cod.12799
- [28] Api AM, Basketter DA, Cadby PA, et al. Dermal sensitization quantitative risk assessment (QRA) for fragrance ingredients. Regul Toxicol Pharmacol. 2015;71(2):248-260. doi:10.1016/j.yrtph.2014.12.006
- [29] Ferrándiz L, Ojeda-Vila T, Corrales A, Martín-Gutiérrez FJ, Ruíz-de-Casas A, Galdeano R, Álvarez-Torralba Sánchez-Ibáñez L F. Domínguez-Toro JM, Encina F, Narbona FJ. Internet-based skin cancer screening using clinical images alone or in conjunction with dermoscopic images: Α randomized teledermoscopy trial. Journal of the American Academy of Dermatology. 2017 Apr 1;76(4):676-82.
- [30] DeLouise LA. Applications of nanotechnology in dermatology. J Invest Dermatol. 2012 Mar;132(3 Pt 2):964-75. doi: 10.1038/jid.2011.425. Epub 2012 Jan 5. PMID: 22217738; PMCID: PMC4066738.